

Survey on Facilities/Tech CAP Prioritization and Committee Processes

TABULATED RESULTS

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Don't Know	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Comment Compiled from multiple sources
1. The combined F/T-CAP structure was effective in completing the facilities and technology prioritization process.	3	5	1			
2. The FRAWG work group facilitated your understanding of <i>campus</i> facilities needs requests.	6	2	1			"FRAWG great job. Need better feed back to program plans."
3. The TRAWG work group facilitated your understanding of <i>campus</i> technology needs requests.	5	3	1			
4. I understand the resource planning and allocation process at Moorpark College.	4	4		1		
5. I felt that I had input into the <i>process</i> .	2	4	1			"There was extensive concern if the prioritizations were considered."
6. I believe the current process reflects <i>campus</i> resource needs and priorities.	2	6	1			"If there was a value to attending the meeting vs. just reading a report out from John and Darleen (sic)."
7. I believe these prioritization processes have a positive impact on student learning.	1	7		1		
8. The assessments of our prioritization processes have been adequately looped back into our planning.		6	2			"There is a need for more reporting back on status regarding requests from program plans. More integrated planning. Need more discussion/less reporting."

Please complete survey, print out and send via brown mail or leave in Iris Ingram's mailbox by March 28th . Thank you.