MOORPARK COLLEGE

Facilities/Technology – Committee on Accreditation and Planning (T-CAP/F-CAP)

MÈETING MINUTES

Wednesday, January 15, 2014 | 1:15-2:30 p.m., A-138

POSITION	NAME	ATTEND	DIVISION	FACULTY NAME	ATTEND
Co-Chair: Vice President, Business Services	Iris Ingram	х	Mathmatics & Physical Sciences	Marcos Enriquez Tom Ogimachi	X X
Co-Chair: Academic Senate Representative	Martin Chetlen	Х		Michael Walegur	Х
Academic Senate President, ex officio	Mary Rees	Х	Behavorial & Social Sciences Enrollment Services, Health & Life Sciences	Kari Meyers Jack Miller	Х
Deans	Julius Sokenu Inajane Nicklas	X X		Lee Ballestero	Х
Business Services	John Sinutko Darlene Melby Todd Hampton	X X X		Christina Lee Brenda Woodhouse Audrey Chen	X X X
Associated Students Representative	Victoria Zolfaghari		Business, Languages & Learning Resources	Hart Schulz Faten Habib	X X
Guests	Dave Fuhrmann John Dobbins	X	Performing Arts & Student Life	Kara Lybarger-Monson Traci Allen Nathan Bowen Dena Stevens	X X X
			Visual Arts & Applied Sciences	Howard Davis Gerry Zucca Ashley Chelonis	X X X

TOI	PIC	ACTION	
1.	WELCOME and INTRODUCTION	The meeting was called to order at 1:16	
		p.m. and introductions were made	
		around the room.	
2.	Approval of Minutes November 20, 2013 meeting	The minutes were approved as	
		submitted.	
3. INFORMATION/REPORTS			
	> IT UPDATE		
	Mr. Dave Fuhrmann –		
	o A brief powerpoint about the state of IT was shown to the committee that was shown at the Board		
	of Trustees meeting.		
	o The MyVCCCD mobile app was released and has had more than 10,000 downloads and received 4.5		
	out of 5 stars.		
	o There is a 2 year plan to expand wi-fi around campus.		
	Mr. Todd Hampton –		
	o Lync - 70-80 percent of the phones are in place. Full deployment should be by mid-february.		
	 140 problem tickets came in last week and IT is now back-logged. Please be patient. 		

Page 1 of 3

TOI	PIC		ACTION
		 A new Lab will be going into fountain hall. 	
	>	INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNOLOGY – Ashley Chelonis	
		Desire to Learn upgraded over the break with no issues reported as of now. Please let her know if there	
		is any problems that need to be addressed with details	
	>	PROJECTS – Mr. John Sinutko	
		Fountain Hall - The 1 st phase for moving student services into the building is complete with the move of	
		the Student Business Office and Career Transfer Center. Currently working on punch list items. Most	
		items have been ordered that are on the list. The 2 nd phase should be on February 22 nd . We are set to	
		have a meeting on February 27th to coordinate that move.	
		Parking structure – It is complete with punch list items that should be done by this Friday. Installation of	
		cameras and monitors still need to be done. An announcement will go out when is ready to use.	
		Replacement of Energy Management System – Still in the beginning stages of this project. Once started	
		the project should last approximately 8 months.	
		TRAWG Update –Co-chairs Julius Sokenu and Tom Ogimachi	
		Mr. Sokenu – The TRAWG committee met and went through the request and started ranking them by	
		using the set criteria. They have had to go back to a couple of programs to ask for more information.	
		Mr. Sokenu asked if this committee might be able to help TRAWG decide how to deal with ranking	
		programs that have more frequent and higher need over the ones that don't. They would also like to	
		take a look at how we discuss technology on this campus. How to strategically anticipate how changes	
		happen annually. They also were interested in having Kenneth Green help with this process. TRAWG	
		will have first read recommendations for this committee at the March meeting.	
	>	FRAWG Update - Co-chairs – Inajane Nicklas and Gerry Zucca	
		Mr. Zucca – FRAWG met 3 times and went through requests and investigated questions. Should have	
		recommendations at meeting in March. FRAWG also added a few items in the responsibilities area on	
		the criteria page which was handed out to the committee. Discussion followed regarding making the	
		process more formal and making sure that the feedback makes it back to the program.	
4.		Plan Timeline/RFP/RFQ Update – John Sinutko	
		ward Davis – The master plan committee went thorough packets and had interivews with architects. They	
		k the top 4 and ranked them in order: 1. Gensler, 2. Steinberg, 3. NTD and 4. Perkins + Will. Mr. Sinutko sat	
		wn with the President and walked him through the whole process. The President reported back to the	
		ancellor. The recommendation goes to the President then Cabinet, the Capital Planning meeting and thenthe	
		ard meeting in February. We can move forward with the chosen architect after the Board meeting. Hopefully	
_		will have them at the next Y'all Come.	
5.		Classroom Process Died until the sub-group can meet.	
_		ic Technology Plan	
υ.		ted committee to go into link that was sent previously and make feedback comments directly on that link or	
		ind them to Iris.	
7		RNMENT – The meeting was adjourned at 2:32 p.m.	
	AD100	The meeting was aujourned at 2.52 pmin	
		Facilities and Technology - Committee on Accreditation and Planning	
	The	Facilities and Tacks along Consentities and Association and Consenting and Association and Consentities and	

The Facilities and Technology Committee on Accreditation and Planning makes recommendations on college-wide planning and accreditation issues related to facilities for educational programs and student service and those related to campus instructional and administrative digital technology. Monitors the implementation of Standard 3B & 3C of the self-study relative to facilities.

RECOMMENDING STRUCTURE	CHARGE AND SOURCE OF AUTHORITY	MEMBERSHIP
FACILITIES AND TECHNOLOGY-	The committee recommends funding for technology based on a	Co-Chairs
Committee on Accreditation and	general allocation guideline that assumes budget stability or growth.	 Vice President of Business Services
Planning (F-CAP/Tech-CAP)	As a rule of thumb, the committee recommends an allocation of a	Faculty member appointed by the Academic
	minimum of 30% of the college's total instructional equipment funding	Senate Council and is, therefore, a member of the
Committee of Academic Senate	assigned each year to technology equipment, software, and hardware.	Academic Senate Council
	The accreditation component under the purview of the Facilities and	Members
	Technology Committee on Accreditation and Planning is the	 Three faculty members from each Student
	development of plans to address any self-study advisement or visiting	Learning Division appointed by the Academic
	team recommendation that refer to facilities and/ or the needs of	Senate Council
	digital technology for students, faculty, and staff.	 Two Deans selected by the Executive Vice
		President
		 Three Business Service representatives selected
		by the Vice President of Business Services
		 One student appointed by Associated Students

• Page 3 of 3

TCAP/FCAP 2013-14

• Page 3 of 3

MINUTES | 1/15/14