

Moorpark College Academic Senate Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, April 3rd, 2018, 2:30 – 4:00pm in Admin 138

Mission Statement

With a "students first" philosophy, Moorpark College empowers its diverse community of learners to complete their goals for academic transfer, basic skills, and career technical education. Moorpark College integrates instruction and student services, collaborates with industry and educational partners, and promotes a global perspective.

STANDING MEMBERS / ACADEMIC SENATE REPRESENTATIVES, 2016-17						Guests
POSITION	NAME	PRESENT	POSITION	NAME	PRESENT	
ASC Pres	Nenagh Brown	X	Health Sciences	Michelle Dieterich / Dalila Sankaran	MD	Josepha Baca Christina Tafoya Keller Magenau Mary Rees
ASC V.P.	Nathan Bowen	X	Kinesiology/HED	Remy McCarthy	X	
ASC Secretary	Erik Reese	X	Library	Mary LaBarge	X	
ASC Treasurer	Renee Butler	X	Life Sciences	Jazmir Hernandez / Carrie Geisbauer		
ACCESS	Jolie Herzig / Silva Arzunyan	JH	Mathematics	Vahe Khachadorian / Rena Petrello	VK	
Athletics	Vance Manakas	X	Music/Dance	Brandon Elliott / James Song	BE	
Behavioral Sciences	Dani Vieira / Kari Meyers	DV	Physics/Astronomy/Engineering/CS	Ronald Wallingford / Scarlet Relle	RW	
Business Administration	Reet Sumal / Ruth Bennington	RB	Social Sciences	Hugo Hernandez / Susan Kinkella	HH	
Chemistry/Earth Sciences	Tiffany Pawluk / Deanna Franke		Student Health Center	Sharon Manakas / Silva Arzunyan		
Child Development	Cindy Sheaks-McGowan	X	Visual & Applied Arts/Media Arts	Mike Hoffman	X	
Comm Studies/Theater Arts/FTVM	John Loprieno	X	World Languages	Helga Winkler / Alejandra Valenzuela	HW	
Counseling	Chuck Brinkman / Traci Allen	CB	Curriculum Chair (non-voting)	Jerry Mansfield		
English/ ESL	Sydney Sims / Jerry Mansfield	SS	<i>Student Liaison</i>	Cassi Cardoza	X	
EATM	Gary Wilson / Cindy Wilson					

In accordance with the Ralph M. Brown Act and SB 751, minutes of the Moorpark College Academic Senate Council will record the votes of all members as follows: (1) Members recorded as absent are presumed not to have voted; (2) the names of members voting in the minority or abstaining are recorded; (3) all other members are presumed to have voted in the majority.

I) Public Comments

- a) Mike Hoffman—journalism students brought back 11 awards from the Journalism Association of Community Colleges state conference March 22-24 in Burbank, ranging from honorable mentions to a first place for sports feature photo
- b) Renee Butler—Multicultural Day is next Tuesday April 10th
- c) Josepha Baca—business coaching workshop was well attended
 - i) 9 faculty from Moorpark College and 30 overall, plus some deans attended
 - ii) Focused on the Gig economy and opening your own business
- d) Mary Rees—bronze and silver star winners for over half of the CTE programs from the Chancellor's office
- e) Vance Manakas—Moorpark College received 4 state scholar team award winners, the most in the entire state
- f) Brandon Elliott—two performances over the weekend with both vocals and orchestra with great audience participation
- g) Welcome to two Oxnard guests, Christina Tafoya and Keller Magenau, who have come to hear how CTE at MC works with ASC

II) Approval of Minutes

- a) [March 20, 2018—vote moved to next senate meeting to attend to some details in the minutes](#)
 - i) [Chuck Brinkman moves to approve and Ron Wallingford seconds](#)

III) Old Business

- a) Academic Senate Council membership—presented by Nathan Bowen

- i) Nenagh Brown's introduction
 - (1) Thank you to Nathan for using spring break to update our by-laws to reflect the proposed council membership
 - (2) There was a question as how to clarify the difference between departments and area groups and new wording reflects that, "service area groups" for instance
 - (3) Note that, in theory, the departments will be stable though some may change divisions in the upcoming reorganization
 - ii) Proposed senate council membership
 - (1) Department and service area groups each have a representative
 - (2) CTE liaison is ex-officio and non-voting
 - (a) Role of the CTE liaison in senate differs wildly by college
 - (b) CTE liaison position in Moorpark's Curriculum Committee is ex-officio and non-voting
 - (c) Proposing that the CTE liaison is ex-officio and non-voting in the senate as well
 - (3) One representative from each division voted on by the division
 - (4) Two part-time faculty members
 - iii) With this scheme, lose 2 of the current department representatives but division representatives allows those that want a voice to stand for election
 - (a) For example the current two seats for kinesiology and athletics becomes only one seat on senate, music and dance lose their department seat
 - iv) Comment: Larger representation but will potentially create imbalance and possible issues with quorum
 - (1) Issue with representation of small programs since the division representative will likely come from the larger departments within a division
 - (2) Idea is that the division representatives votes on behalf of the division
 - v) Faculty prioritization currently has 25 voting senate members, 7 deans, and 2 VP's
 - (1) If increase senate members then faculty will have a larger fraction of the votes, 35 faculty voices, and 9 admin voices
 - (2) Therefore this prioritization process will be revisited
 - (3) Comment (Mary LaBarge)—note that the final decision is really in the hands of the president and the combined senate and deans council group is only a recommending body
 - (4) Comment (Vance Manakas)—one option would be for only faculty to vote on recommendation for faculty prioritization. Why do deans get to vote too in our current system?
 - vi) Cycle, terms, and term limits really need to be altered as well
 - (1) Division rep would have a 2 year term and then could not be re-elected as a division rep
 - vii) Please think about these issues and discuss with members of your departments to be ready to bring final updates to the proposed senate membership ideally for a vote next senate meeting
- b) Study Abroad Advisory Committee—presented by Nathan Bowen
 - i) To be addressed at the next senate meeting
 - c) Great Teachers Seminar
 - i) Renee withdraws her motion made last meeting for 2 previous attendees to have priority and will not be applying to the Senate to attend the Seminar
 - (1) Motion was in the spirit of the 40th celebration of the Great Teachers
 - ii) Approved motion to send 1 previous attendee and 2 faculty undergoing tenure remains in effect
 - iii) This was approved at last meeting; these amended documents are now being presented to Council

IV) Consent Calendar

- a) Distance Education Committee Recommendation on OEI Rubric
 - i) Wanted the recommendation of the OEI Rubric to go through senate as "best practices" but not used as assessment of faculty
- b) AP 4102: Career Technical Education Programs
- c) Hugo Hernandez makes a motion to vote on the consent calendar and Mike Hoffman seconds
- d) **Voted to approve consent calendar with no abstentions**

V) New Business

- a) Strong Workforce Budget, 2018-19—presented by Mary Rees
 - i) Josepha Baca will likely be presenting these updates in the future
 - ii) Summary budget sheet was handed out, involving \$759,452 for 2018-19 (starting this July for the next fiscal year)
 - iii) Discussed needs of the college with faculty and facilities as the top two priorities
 - iv) Strong workforce is strongly tied to outcomes
 - (1) 83% in July and then 17% is performance based (incentive money)
 - (2) Performance funds based on CTE courses offered, transfers, degrees & certificates, and obtaining jobs
 - v) Budget items discussion
 - (1) Grant director (and supporting admin) is a new position to help the processes, Celine Parks and Elizabeth Nielsen
 - (2) Faculty hired using Strong Workforce funds: ACCT, CNSE, and CS
 - (3) Will support Performing Arts Center with strong workforce funds and others will hopefully be supported via Makerspace funds

- (4) Looking to have student workers or professional experts via Makerspace funds for programming, game design, ceramics, etc.
- (5) CTE counselor and A&R Technician also included to help offset the additional load from expanding CTE programs
- (6) No facilities expenditures for the 2018-19 year
 - (a) COM—digital fabrication lab will displace FTVM and looking for space for a 30-40 student classroom
 - (b) New area for CSNE is also being considered
- (7) Left over funds of approximately \$57k to be spread out over the CTE disciplines for marketing, professional development, etc.
- vi) 3 faculty members on the strong workforce budget for 2018-19
 - (1) Had already agreed to move 1 faculty member to general funds last year, with the loss of that position in faculty prioritization, but recommendation was too late in year to alter budget
 - (2) Senate strongly supported only tenure track faculty
 - (3) Two CTE faculty ranked very high in the prioritization for this year's hires
 - (4) Move 1 CTE faculty position (ACCT, for example) over to general funds and then use strong workforce funds for 1 new faculty
 - (5) If decide not to use the funds for faculty then they can be used for other means, equipment for instance
 - (6) Question as to more and more faculty being brought in and the long term consequences on general funds and our faculty prioritization and hiring procedure
 - (7) Comment (John Loprieno)—hiring new CTE faculty keeps college current and relevant by hiring faculty in growth industries
 - (8) Comment (Mike Hoffman)—Strong Workforce provides the most flexible funding with very few restrictions, one of the few funding courses that can be used on facilities
 - (9) Comment (Vance Manakas)—funds could be used to support the current CTE faculty and grow those programs instead of new faculty positions
 - (10) Comment (Hugo Hernandez)—Strong Workforce funds do not go through the allocation model but come straight to Moorpark College from the state
 - (11) Comment (Ruth Bennington)—if English is higher on the prioritization list than a CTE faculty then get around the prioritization process by hiring CTE faculty
 - (12) Comment (Josepha Baca)
 - (a) Strong Workforce goal is to support CTE based programs and ignite CTE activity on campus
 - (b) If continue to fund the same three early hires then that does not necessarily keep us current
 - (13) Helga Winkler
 - (a) Prioritization is altered by the president and then if CTE is moved higher up then have 2 pots from which to support CTE
 - (b) It is important to have more clarity and transparency on how the funding and prioritization process happen
 - (14) Nenagh Brown—theme seems to be to recommend to move one faculty member off of strong workforce onto general funds
- vii) Electronic version of the budget will be posted on the senate site
- b) Academic Senate Annual Awards—presented by Nathan Bowen
 - i) No recommendations from last year's process
 - ii) Senate members may not be nominated as they are voting members
 - iii) Hugo Hernandez motions to approve the annual awards documents and Ruth Bennington seconds
 - iv) [Vote to approve annual awards documents with no abstentions](#)
- c) ASCCC Area C Meeting Report—presented by Renee Butler
 - i) To be addressed at the next senate meeting

VI) Reports

- a) Committees—written format as meeting attachment
 - i) CurCom
 - ii) Fac/Tech
 - iii) ProfDev
 - iv) Fiscal
 - v) SLO
 - vi) EdCAP
 - vii) SS&E
 - viii) DE
- b) CTE Report
- c) Officer Reports
 - i) Treasurer
 - ii) Secretary
 - iii) Vice President
 - iv) President

VII) Announcements

- a) April 12-14: ASCCC Plenary
- b) April 20: Moorpark College Planning Retreat
- c) May 16: Academic Senate Year-End Brunch