

## Moorpark College Proposal

### Budget Allocation Model – Update

1. Line 9 Base Allocation be reduced to either:
  - a. State Allocation for Medium (\$4,201,509) and Small (\$3,601,294)  
or
  - b. 9% - \$3,984,970 per college

***Status: No support from DCAS to move this recommendation forward.***

2. Fund 693
  - a. No additional transfers are made from Fund 693 to the Irrevocable Trust.
  - b. Ending Fund Balance at 6/30 should not exceed \$750,000.

***Status: Approved Unanimously. Recommendation to be made to the Chancellor. Recommendation Accepted by the Chancellor.***

3. Irrevocable Trust – Develop a plan for using the Irrevocable Trust to alleviate the retiree health care benefits on the general fund.
  - a. The plan should include the short-term use of funds to coverage any shortage in actual Health Care Retiree Benefit Cost.
  - b. The plan should have a long-term component addressing the actuarial study.

***Status: Support from DCAS. A subcommittee will be form to develop a recommended plan for the irrevocable trust. It was agreed that the plan would include using the irrevocable trust to cover any shortage in the actual health care retiree benefit cost. Subcommittee will consist of the three college vice presidents of business services, one academic senate president, one classified senate representative (Chris Renbarger). Steve Hall and Maria Urenda will be invited to join the subcommittee as well.***

4. FTES Recognition – Colleges that exceed budgeted FTES should receive additional allocation to cover the cost of the additional students. The DAC should receive a percentage in consideration of the additional cost. Colleges that do not make budgeted FTES should have their allocation reduced for that year.

***Status: Approved. Colleges that exceed budgeted FTES should receive additional allocation to cover the cost of the additional students. Recommendation to be made to the Chancellor.***

***Here is the final text of the proposal as passed:***

***Colleges that exceed budgeted FTES should receive additional allocation to cover the cost of the additional students in the year achieved.***

***The growth would be limited to the State growth cap for the District.***

***A college will be funded for its growth up to the cap regardless of the District's overall FTES.***

***Any college's growth unfunded by the state, up to the cap, would be funded by Reserves.***

***If the growth exceeds the district's cap, any growth realized by more than one college would be split proportionally based on the increase.***

***There will be a reconciliation of growth funds advanced to actual growth earned***

***No support from DCAS for the rest of the recommendation.***

**Recommendation not accepted by the Chancellor.**

5. Non-Resident FTES (Intl and Domestic) – Non-Resident FTES should not be removed from Line 10. The budget allocation model already adjust for non-resident cost by allocating \$5006 per FTES (see Budget Book, Page 42 Line). The colleges still need to provide services to non-resident students. The remaining differential from tuition is not sufficient to cover the additional services.

***Status: Approved. Recommendation to be made to the Chancellor. Recommendation accepted by the Chancellor. This results in a gain of \$50,955 to MC, \$25,977 to VC, and a reduction of \$76,931 to OC.***

6. District Reserves – No additional funds should be added to District reserve. No new funds unless in response to new circumstances. The District has more than enough reserves to ensure stability for the district and our urgent need today is to serve our current students now rather than accumulate funds for future students. The question we should ask the DAC is at the time of worse fiscal crisis how much of the reserve was used? That is our benchmark of what we need to have in reserve above the 3% state mandated amount.

***Status: To be discussed at next DCAS. VC El Fattal will bring an analysis on the historical use of reserves.***

7. Small/Medium College – If a college drops below the required FTES for a medium size college the reduction of Basic Allocation shall be the responsibility of that college (4,201,509 – 3,601,294 = 600,215)

***Status: No support from DCAS to move this recommendation forward.***

8. Any new on-going revenue received by the District shall first be allocated to Moorpark and Ventura to bring the FTES funding closer to parity with Oxnard.

***Status: No support from DCAS to move this recommendation forward.***

9. District Allocation of 6.98% should be reduced to reflect the reduction in rental expenses of \$420,000. Once a year DCAS should review and approve the budget for the revenue and expenses associated with the rental and maintenance of the DAC. Fund balance in excess of \$500,000 should be distributed to the colleges as part of the infrastructure model.

***Status: DCAS approved a reduction of \$420,000 to District Administrative Center Budget.***

***Recommendation will be made to the Chancellor. There was no support from DCAS for the rest of the recommendation. Recommendation not accepted by the Chancellor.***