## **Chapter 3: Timelines and Sequences for Key College Decisions**

The charts in this section present the sequences in key college decisions and a timeline for the processes.

## **Definitions**

- Current Year is the current fiscal year, running from July 1 to June 30.
- Coming Year is the next fiscal year in planning.

3.1 Development, Review, and Assessment of Program Plans

Months	ment, Review, and Assessment of Pro-	Coming Year Activities
August/ September/ October	Assessment Cycle: Deans and program leaders with support of the Office of Institutional Research:	Program Plans due before September 30  Update Program Plans in college program plan software.
	1. Review the status of <u>Current Year</u> plans on program improvement;	Begin extraction of requests from Program Plans for prioritization of resources in Standing Committees.
	2. Review program data provided by Office of IE to prepare for updated Program Plan document;	Distribute these extracts to relevant Standing Committees for use in prioritizing faculty hiring, classified staff hiring, technology equipment, and facilities projects.
	3. Document the analysis of program data, including Student Learning Outcomes assessment data, equity data, and other relevant program data that support resource requests and program plans.	
October/ January	Ongoing program data analysis and documentation, including (but not an exhaustive list):  • SLOs (IO, GELO, SSO, PLO, CLO)	Prioritization for full-time faculty is completed by the Join Council (Academic Senate Council and Deans Council).  Prioritization for new classified staff is completed by the Final Planning Committee.
	Success and equity data	completed by the Fiscal Planning Committee.  Program Plan Evaluation meetings are held,
	Labor Market data (CTE programs)	with each program being evaluated at least once during a three-year cycle. The appropriate Vice Presidents, joined by the Academic Senate
	Institutional-level data	President, area Dean or Manager, and faculty or staff, conducts a Program Evaluation and a
	• Other	budget request review. Elements of the evaluation include:  1. Provide feedback on the Program Plan

Months	<b>Current Year Activities</b>	Coming Year Activities
		2. Determine a status for each program per
		AP 4021:
		1) No action needed
		2) Strengthen the program
		3) Reduce the program
		4) Review for discontinuance
		3. Discuss resource needs and fiscal impacts of the Program Plan.
		<ol> <li>Decide when program should return for Program Plan Evaluation meeting (1 – 3 years).</li> </ol>
		Should a program receive an evaluation status of "Reduce the Program" or "Review for Discontinuance," the College President shall review the findings, the accompanying Program Plan, and the recommendations emerging from the Program Plan Evaluation. If the College President recommends a program for discontinuance based on this review, the process for AP 4021 Program Discontinuance will be initiated.
		A summary report on the Program Plan Evaluation process, including the status of the programs reviewed, is prepared by the Vice-President for Academic Affairs. The Vice-President presents the document to the EdCAP Co-Chairs to agendize the document for review and acceptance.

Months	<b>Current Year Activities</b>	Coming Year Activities
	Ongoing program data analysis and documentation	EdCAP completes the Program Plan evaluation process by reporting the findings to the Academic Senate and Administrative Council.
		The President distributes the summary collegewide and then forwards the report to the Chancellor.
		EdCAP reviews the ACCJC Annual Plan and Institution-Set Standards in line with the data reported by the Program Plans.
		Prioritization for technology and facilities requests is completed by F/TCAP.
		Jointly EdCAP and Fiscal Planning review the recommendations for all resource prioritizations extracted from the Program Plans for alignment with the College Strategic Plan and forward recommendations to the College President.
		EdCAP reviews and revises as necessary the template and process for the coming year Program Plans.
		EdCAP presents template and process changes, if any, to Academic Senate for review. Final recommendations for changes, if any, are made to the President for next implementation cycle.